

Monitoring and Evaluation of Educational Competencies, Quality and Standards: Issues and Perspectives in Higher Education

Kennedy Okemwa

Abstract: *Monitoring and Evaluation of Educational Competencies, Quality, and Standards in Higher Education involves a systematic assessment of ensuring quality, standards, and competencies in higher education. However, the extent to which monitoring and evaluation of educational competencies, quality and standards has been applied in higher education remains wanting. The main purpose of this paper is to discuss monitoring and evaluation of educational competencies, quality and standards while highlighting issues and perspectives in the context of higher education. It covers various dimensions of higher education, including curriculum design, assessment methods, faculty quality, resources, student outcomes, accreditation, globalization, stakeholder feedback, continuous improvement, inclusivity, and equity. It also addresses common quality issues in higher education, such as access and equity, funding, faculty quality, curriculum relevance, assessment, technology integration, student engagement, graduate outcomes, governance and leadership, innovation and research, mental health and well-being, the teaching and learning environment, and the assessment of learning outcomes. Based on these issues, this paper emphasizes the need for continuous monitoring and evaluation of educational competencies, quality, and standards in higher education as essential for institutions to adapt and excel in an ever-changing academic landscape. This paper suggests that by maintaining a commitment to rigorous monitoring and evaluation, upholding high standards, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement, higher education institutions can empower their students to thrive in the modern world, contribute to society, and uphold the values of academic excellence and knowledge dissemination.*

Keywords: *Monitoring and evaluation, Educational competencies, Quality education, Educational, standards, Educational issues, Higher education.*

INTRODUCTION

Educational competencies describe the desired knowledge, skills, and behaviors of a student graduating from a program. Education quality is a measure of the efficiency of an educational process while Education standards describe knowledge or skills that every student should learn and be able to do at each grade level. In today's rapidly evolving global landscape, the importance of education, particularly in higher education, cannot be overstated. The knowledge and skills imparted at this level of education are pivotal in shaping individuals, driving economic development, and fostering innovation. However, to ensure that higher education institutions meet the ever-growing demands and challenges, there is a critical need for monitoring and evaluation of educational competencies, quality, and standards. This process is essential to assess the effectiveness of education systems, the competence of graduates, and the overall quality of higher education institutions. This article explores the various issues and perspectives surrounding the monitoring and evaluation of these crucial aspects in higher education (Warn et al, 2001). This paper looks into perspectives of monitoring and evaluation of educational

competencies, quality and standards while examining the underlying issues in the context of higher education institutions. These issues and perspectives will shed light on the transformative potential of effective monitoring and evaluation in higher education.

Evaluating Educational Competencies and Quality of Education

Evaluating educational competencies and the quality of education involves assessing various dimensions of educational systems, institutions, and outcomes. Several key aspects to consider in this evaluation include: *Curriculum and Pedagogy*, *Assessment and evaluation*, *Faculty quality*, *Infrastructure and resources*, *Student outcomes*, *Accreditation and Quality Assurance*, *Global Benchmarking*, *Stakeholder Feedback*, *Continuous Improvement*, *Inclusivity and Equity*.

Curriculum and Pedagogy : Curriculum and Pedagogy play pivotal roles in molding educational competencies and fostering student success. A finely tuned curriculum, tailored to meet the dynamic demands of modern industries, serves as the cornerstone of quality education (Hargreaves & Shirley, 2009). Likewise, pedagogical methodologies that prioritize critical thinking, problem-solving, and creativity empower learners with essential skills for navigating an ever-evolving world (Gardner, 2006). As noted by Leathwood and colleagues (2000), evaluating the efficacy of these components necessitates a multifaceted approach. It entails scrutinizing not only the relevance and currency of the curriculum content but also the effectiveness of teaching methodologies and the integration of technology to enrich learning experiences (Bigum & Rowan, 2017). By continuously refining and optimizing curriculum design and pedagogical practices, educators can ensure that education remains responsive to the needs of both learners and society (Fullan, 2007).

Student Assessment: Student Assessment: Effective assessment methods are essential for accurately evaluating students' competencies and informing instructional practices in modern education. Utilizing a diverse range of assessment tools, including formative and summative approaches, is crucial for gaining insights into student understanding and skill development (Stiggins & Chappuis, 2012). Formative assessments, such as classroom discussions, self-assessments, and peer evaluations, provide ongoing feedback that supports student learning and informs instructional adjustments (Wiliam, 2011). In contrast, summative assessments, including standardized tests, performance tasks, and portfolios, offer a comprehensive snapshot of students' mastery of content and skills (Popham, 2018).

Additionally, project-based assessments have gained prominence for their ability to evaluate not only content knowledge but also critical thinking, collaboration, and problem-solving skills (Boss, 2013). These assessments often mirror real-world challenges and promote deeper learning experiences (Moursund & Bielefeldt, 2010). Furthermore, integrating continuous feedback mechanisms, such as teacher-student conferences and peer reviews, fosters student engagement, self-reflection, and metacognitive development (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). A well-balanced mix of assessment strategies, encompassing formative and summative approaches, project-based assessments, and ongoing feedback mechanisms, is essential for effectively evaluating educational quality and facilitating the holistic development of students (Astin et al., 2012).

Student Outcomes: Student Outcomes: At the heart of educational effectiveness lies the tangible outcomes achieved by students. While academic achievement, as measured by standardized tests, provides one dimension of evaluation, the broader spectrum of student success encompasses factors such as employability and career advancement (Reinhardt & Wiemann, 2019). Indeed, the ability of graduates to seamlessly transition into the workforce and contribute meaningfully to their chosen fields serves as a testament to the quality of education imparted (Hanushek & Woessmann, 2015). Moreover, feedback from alumni offers invaluable insights into the enduring impact of educational experiences on their personal and professional trajectories, shedding light on areas of strength and opportunities for improvement (Vaughn et al., 2017).

Tracking students' trajectories beyond graduation, whether in their careers or further education pursuits, is paramount in gauging the efficacy of educational institutions in preparing learners for real-world challenges (Reeves, 2020). By monitoring outcomes over time, educators can iteratively refine curricula, pedagogical approaches, and support services to better align with evolving societal needs and industry demands (Stark et al., 2016). Ultimately, the comprehensive assessment of student outcomes serves as a litmus test for the transformative power of education in shaping individuals' lives and driving societal progress (Spronken-Smith, 2015).

Faculty Assessment: The Faculty Assessment: The proficiency and commitment of educators are pivotal factors in ensuring the quality of education. Evaluating faculty members' qualifications, teaching methodologies, and their capacity to effectively engage and inspire students is paramount (Berk, 2016). A comprehensive approach to faculty assessment encompasses not only traditional metrics of scholarly achievement but also considerations of teaching effectiveness, mentorship, and contributions to institutional goals (Diamond & Adam, 2015).

Continuous professional development initiatives play a crucial role in fostering faculty growth and ensuring the relevance of their instructional practices in line with contemporary educational needs (Guskey & Yoon, 2009). By participating in ongoing learning experiences, faculty members enhance their teaching skills, integrate innovative pedagogical approaches, and stay abreast of emerging trends in their disciplines (Menges & Weimer, 2018). Furthermore, a robust faculty evaluation process serves as a mechanism for accountability and continuous improvement (Bresciani Ludvik et al., 2019). Incorporating diverse sources of feedback, such as student evaluations, peer observations, and self-assessments, provides a comprehensive understanding of faculty effectiveness and areas for development (Hendry et al., 2018).

Resources Assessment: The physical and technological infrastructure of an educational institution also influences the quality of education. Adequate resources, including well-equipped classrooms, libraries, laboratories, and online learning platforms, are essential for effective learning and skill development. Evaluating the sufficiency and accessibility of these resources is a part of assessing educational quality.

Governance and Leadership: Effective leadership and governance structures within higher education institutions are essential for setting and maintaining high standards. Poor leadership can result in mismanagement and quality issues (Kooli, 2019).

Teaching and Learning Environment: Creating a conducive learning environment, both physical and virtual, is vital. Outdated facilities, overcrowded classrooms, and a lack of technology can impact the quality of education.

Technology Integration: The effective use of technology in education is crucial for modernizing teaching methods and ensuring quality. However, inadequate infrastructure and training can hinder this integration (Adedokun-Shittu et al, 2014).

Financial resource assessment: Adequate funding and resource allocation are essential for maintaining high-quality education. Budget cuts, resource constraints, and misallocation of resources can affect the quality of programs and services

Accreditation and Quality Assurance: Many countries have accreditation bodies and quality assurance agencies responsible for evaluating and ensuring the quality of higher education institutions. They assess factors such as governance, leadership, and adherence to standards. Accreditation status can be an indicator of educational quality.

Partnerships/ Networks/ Affiliation: To understand how an education system or institution fares on a global scale, benchmarking against international standards can be valuable. This involves comparing the educational competencies and quality with institutions and systems in other countries.

Stakeholder input: Gathering feedback from various stakeholders, including students, employers, alumni, and the wider community, can provide valuable insights into the perceived quality and relevance of education.

Inclusivity and Equity: Evaluating educational competencies and quality also requires assessing inclusivity and equity. Ensuring that education is accessible to all and that it doesn't perpetuate social disparities is essential for comprehensive quality assessment (Vĩiu G et al, 2015).

Continuous Improvement: Educational institutions should focus on continuous improvement through regular evaluation and feedback mechanisms. This process should lead to adjustments in curriculum, teaching methods, and resource allocation to enhance the quality of education.

Mental Health and Well-being: Addressing the mental health and well-being of students is an emerging concern. High levels of stress, anxiety, and mental health issues can negatively impact the overall quality of the educational experience (MacKean, 2011).

Monitoring and Evaluation in Higher Education Institutions

Monitoring and evaluating the quality issues in higher education is essential for ensuring that educational institutions provide effective and equitable learning experiences for students. To address disparities in access and equity, institutions should continually track enrollment data and assess the impact of outreach and scholarship programs. Adequate funding and resource allocation can be assured through regular budget monitoring and evaluation to ensure resources are appropriately distributed for program quality and infrastructure maintenance. Faculty quality can be assessed through regular faculty evaluations and feedback from students to gauge the impact of faculty on educational outcomes. Curriculum relevance can be maintained by

conducting periodic curriculum reviews and evaluating the effectiveness of curriculum changes. Assessment methods can be continually reviewed to ensure they measure student learning effectively, and technology integration can be monitored to ensure its impact on teaching and learning. Student engagement can be improved by collecting data on class sizes and student participation and assessing strategies to enhance engagement. Graduate outcomes can be tracked through employment rates and career success, while accreditation status can be monitored for quality assurance. Leadership and governance effectiveness can be assessed through regular reviews, and research and innovation can be monitored for impact on educational quality. Addressing mental health and well-being concerns can be done through regular data collection and the evaluation of support services. Finally, the teaching and learning environment can be improved by assessing the adequacy of physical and virtual learning environments and their impact on student engagement and outcomes. By implementing comprehensive monitoring and evaluation processes, educational institutions can continuously enhance the quality of higher education to meet the ever-evolving needs of students and society.

CONCLUSION

The monitoring and evaluation of educational competencies, quality, and standards in higher education is a multifaceted endeavor that plays a pivotal role in shaping the future of academic institutions. The dynamic landscape of higher education demands a constant reassessment of learning outcomes and the quality of educational practices to meet evolving societal and economic needs. This process requires ongoing collaboration among stakeholders, from faculty and administrators to external accrediting bodies and regulatory authorities. Embracing technology, data-driven insights, and international best practices can help institutions adapt and excel in this ever-changing environment. Ultimately, by staying committed to rigorous assessment, maintaining high standards, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement, higher education institutions can empower their students to thrive in the modern world, contribute to society, and uphold the timeless values of academic excellence and knowledge dissemination.

As we conclude we need to pose a few questions; why are we where we are in terms of our educational quality, competencies and standards in our higher education institutions? Is it that M&E interventions do not work in education or is that we are too lazy to implement M&E results. Perhaps is the high time we go back to our drawing board to reexamine what is it that we have not done right in the past in terms of M&E approaches and what we need to do right so that we can enhance quality, competencies and standards.

References

- Bikse, V., Rivza, B., & Brence, I. (2013). Competitiveness and Quality of Higher Education: Graduates' Evaluation. *Journal of teacher education for sustainability*, 15(2), 52-66.
- Brownell, J. E., & Swaner, L. E. (2009). High-impact practices: Applying the learning outcomes literature to the development of successful campus programs. *Peer Review*, 11(2), 26-31.
- Gikandi, J. W., Morrow, D., & Davis, N. E. (2011). Online formative assessment in higher education: A review of the literature. *Computers & education*, 57(4), 2333-2351.
- Harvey, L. (2002). Evaluation for what?. *Teaching in higher education*, 7(3), 245-263.
- Harvey, L., & Askling, B. (2003). Quality in higher education. In *The dialogue between higher education research and practice* (pp. 69-83). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Hutchinson, S. R., & Lovell, C. D. (2004). A review of methodological characteristics of research published in key journals in higher education: Implications for graduate research training. *Research in Higher Education*, 45, 383-403.
- McGowan, V. (2017). Higher education institutional transparency of general education competencies, assessment measures, and analysis of assessment data. *The Journal of General Education*, 66(3-4), 252-282.
- Mizikaci, F. (2006). A systems approach to program evaluation model for quality in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 14(1), 37-53.
- Newton, J. (1999). An evaluation of the impact of external quality monitoring on a higher education college (1993–98). *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 24(2), 215-235.
- Sazonov, S. P., Kharlamova, E. E., Chekhovskaya, I. A., & Polyanskaya, E. A. (2015). Evaluating financial sustainability of higher education institutions. *Asian Social Science*, 11(20), 34.
- Scheerens, J., Glas, C. A., & Thomas, S. (2003). *Educational evaluation, assessment, and monitoring: A systemic approach* (Vol. 13). Taylor & Francis.
- Shukurovich, M. S., & Ahmedovna, M. M. Measures For Monitoring And Evaluation Of Power Activity In Higher Education. *JournalNX*, 423-426.
- Struyven, K., Dochy, F., & Janssens, S. (2005). Students' perceptions about evaluation and assessment in higher education: A review. *Assessment & evaluation in higher education*, 30(4), 325-341.
- Warn, J., & Tranter, P. (2001). Measuring quality in higher education: a competency approach. *Quality in higher education*, 7(3), 191-198.

- Wilkins, S., Hazzam, J., & Lean, J. (2021). Doctoral publishing as professional development for an academic career in higher education. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 19(1), 100459.
- Leathwood, C., & Phillips, D. (2000). Developing curriculum evaluation research in higher education: Process, politics and practicalities. *Higher Education*, 40(3), 313-330.
- Vîiu, G. A., & Miroiu, A. (2015). The quest for quality in higher education: Is there any place left for equity and access?. *Higher Education Reforms in Romania*, 173-189.
- Wang, D. D. (2019). Performance-based resource allocation for higher education institutions in China. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, 65, 66-75.
- Douglas, J., & Douglas, A. (2006). Evaluating teaching quality. *Quality in Higher education*, 12(1), 3-13.
- Astin, A. W. (2012). *Assessment for excellence: The philosophy and practice of assessment and evaluation in higher education*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Adedokun-Shittu, N. A., & Shittu, A. J. K. (2014). Evaluating the Impact of Technology Integration in Teaching and Learning. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 2(1), 23-29.
- Leiber, T. (2019). A general theory of learning and teaching and a related comprehensive set of performance indicators for higher education institutions. *Quality in Higher Education*.
- Harvey, L. (1998). An assessment of past and current approaches to quality in higher education. *Australian Journal of education*, 42(3), 237-255.
- MacKean, G. (2011, June). Mental health and well-being in post-secondary education settings. In *CACUSS preconference workshop on mental health*.
- Huiru, Y. (2009). A case study: Innovation of internal teaching and learning evaluation system in higher education institutions. *Chinese Education & Society*, 42(1), 95-104.
- Spronken-Smith, R., Bond, C., McLean, A., Frielick, S., Smith, N., Jenkins, M., & Marshall, S. (2015). Evaluating engagement with graduate outcomes across higher education institutions in Aotearoa/New Zealand. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 34(5), 1014-1030.
- Kooli, C. (2019). Governing and managing higher education institutions: The quality audit contributions. *Evaluation and program planning*, 77, 101713.
- Sarrico, C. S., Rosa, M. J., Teixeira, P. N., & Cardoso, M. F. (2010). Assessing quality and evaluating performance in higher education: Worlds apart or complementary views?. *Minerva*, 48, 35-54.